

# Productivity Enhancement and Gap Analysis of Moth Bean (*Vigna acontifolia* (Jacq.)) through Improved Production Technologies on Farmers' Participatory Mode

M.L. Meena and Dheeraj Singh

Central Arid Zone Research Institute (CAZRI), Krishi Vigyan Kendra,  
Pali-Marwar-306 401, Rajasthan

Email: mlmeenacazri@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** A total 81 of frontline demonstrations were conducted on farmers' fields in villages viz., Kalali, Rampura, Jhitra, Dalpatgarh and Mukanpura of Pali district in Rajasthan state during 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 to demonstrate production potential and economic benefit of improved technologies comprising sowing method, nutrient management and chemical weed control and adoption of whole package of practices for the crop. Pre-emergence application of herbicide *Pendimethalin* at 1.0 kg a.i/ha in 550 liters of water used for effective control of the weeds during *kharif* season in rainfed condition. The findings of the study revealed that improved technology recorded a mean yield of 629 kg/ha which was 53.5% higher than obtained by farmers' practice (414 kg/ha). The higher mean net income of ₹ 24730/- ha with a Benefit: Cost ratio of 3.6 was obtained with improved technologies in comparison to farmers' practices (₹ 12950/ha). Yield gap analysis revealed that though there was mean additional return of ₹ 11780/ha with a mean additional gain of ₹ 7820/ha, still there was an extension gap of 215 kg/ha seed yield, indicating that along with many move front line demonstrations there is a need to disseminate the improved technologies among the farmers with effective extension methods like training and demonstrations. However, the mean technology gap of 639 kg/ha seed yield clearly indicates that research efforts are needed in realizing the potentiality of the moth bean crop in Rajasthan state.

**Key words:** Adoption, frontline demonstration, productivity, moth bean and gap analysis

## Introduction

Pulses are important food crops for human consumption and animal feed. Being leguminous in nature and ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen, they are considered important components of cropping systems produce reasonable yields with low inputs under harsh climatic and soil conditions. Moth bean-wheat cropping system is the predominant system and is being practiced by the farmers in the arid zone of Rajasthan. There is productivity stagnation, nutrient water imbalances and increased insect-pest and disease incidence due to prolonged use of this cereal dominated system (Kumar, 2014).

The production and productivity of moth bean are very low mainly due to its cultivation in resource poor lands with minimum inputs, non-synchronous maturity and indeterminate growth habit. The total production of pulses in the world was 14.76 billion tonnes from the area of 14.25 billion hectares in the year 2013-14. While, in India, was 19.78 million tons from the area of 24.63 million hectares in the year 2013-14. Whereas in Rajasthan, the total pulse production was 9.02 lacs tons from the area of 20.4 lacs hectare, respectively. The contribution of moth bean production among pulses was 3.73 lacs tons from the area of 8.85 lacs hectares in Rajasthan in the year 20013-14 indicating low productivity level of the crop.

In its context, the Front Line Demonstration is an important method of transferring the latest package of practices to farmers by which farmers learn latest technology production factors under real farming situations on their own fields, which in turn may lead to higher adoption of improved package of practices. Further, these demonstrations are designed carefully where provisions are made for speedy dissemination of demonstrated

technology among the farming community through organization of other supportive extension activities, such as field days and farmers convention. The main objective of the Front Line Demonstration is to demonstrate newly released crop production and protection technologies and management practices at the farmers' field under different agro-climatic regions and farming situations. While demonstrating the technologies at the farmer's field, the analysis of the technology gap will help to strengthen the research. Front Line Demonstrations are conducted in a block of two to four hectares of land in order to have better impact of the demonstrated technology on the farmers and field level extension functionaries with full package of practices. Keeping in view, the present study was done to analyze the performance of production technologies among farming community through the FLDs on moth bean production.

## Materials and Methods

During kharif 2010-2014, a total of 81 frontline demonstrations were conducted on farmers' field in villages Kalali, Rampura, Jhitra, Dalpatgarh and Mukanpura Pali district in Rajasthan state under raifed conditions. Each demonstration was conducted in an area of 0.5 ha, and 1.0 ha area adjacent to the demonstration plot was kept as farmers' practice. The package of improved technologies like line sowing, nutrient management, seed treatment and whole package were used in the demonstrations. The test variety was RMO-344 in demonstration plots. The details of practices in FLDs and farmers' practices are given in Table 1. In general, soils of the area under study were sandy loam with medium to low fertility status. The spacing was 45 cm between rows and 20 cm between plants in the rows. Thinning

**Table 1 : Particulars showing the details of moth bean grown under FLD and farmers' practice**

| Operation           | Farmers' practice  | Improved practices demonstrated  |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Line sowing         | Broad casting of seed  | Spacing 45 cm between rows and 20 cm between plants in the rows  |
| Seed treatment      | No seed treatment  | Seed treatment with <i>Carbendazim</i> 2g/kg seed  |
| Weed management     | No weed management   | Weeds control by using herbicide <i>Pendimethalin</i> 1kg a.i/ha in 550 liter of water as pre-emergence treatment for effective control of weeds.      |
| Nutrient management | Only FYM and no fertilizer application   | 10 tons/ha FYM and 20kg/ha nitrogen  |
| Whole package       | Farmers are cultivating the moth bean crop without adoption of any improved technology | All the crop (production and protection) management practices as per the package of practices for <i>kharif</i> crop by SKRAU, Bikaner, were followed. |

and weeding was done at 10 and invariably 30-35 days after sowing respectively to ensure recommended plant spacing within a row since excess population adversely affects growth and yield of the crop. Seed sowing was done in the first week of July with a seed rate of 15-20 kg/ha. Data with respect to grain yield from FLD plots as well as from farmers' practice plots were collected and evaluated. Potential yield was taken into consideration on the basis of standard plant population (334350 plants/ha) and average yield per plant 22.7 g/plant under recommended package of practices with 45 X 20 cm crop geometry (Chandra, 2010). Different parameters as suggested by Yadav *et al.* (2004) was used for gap analysis and calculating the economics. The details of different parameters and formulae adopted for analysis are as under:

Extension gap = Demonstration yield – Farmers' practice yield

Technology gap = Potential yield – Demonstration yield

$$\text{Technology index} = \frac{\text{Potential yield} - \text{Demonstration yield}}{\text{Potential yield}} \times 100$$

Additional cost = Demonstration cost – Farmers' practice cost

Effective gain = Additional returns – Additional cost

Additional returns = Demonstration returns – Farmers' practice returns

$$\text{Incremental B:C ratio} = \frac{\text{Additional returns}}{\text{Additional cost}}$$

## Results and Discussion

### Yield attributing traits

The numbers of productive pods per plant under improved technology were 54.8, 52.7, 55.4, 56.3 and 53.9 with a mean of 54.6 pods/plants as against 35.9, 33.5, 34.7, 39.3 and 40.9 in farmers' practice with a mean of 36.4 pods/plant (Table 2) during 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. There was an increase of 52.6, 57.3, 59.0, 43.3 and 31.8% in the number of productive pods under the demonstration of improved technology over farmers' practice. Thus, there were 48.8% more pods per plant under improved technology demonstrations. The findings confirmed by the findings of Yadav, *et al.* (2007) and Kumar *et al.* (2014). A similar trend was observed with no. of seeds/pod and seed weight 100 pods.

### Seed yield (kg/ha)

The productivity of moth bean under improved production technology ranged between 550 and 750 kg/ha with mean yields of 629 kg/ha (Table 3). The productivity under improved technology was 550, 654, 600, 590 and 780 kg/ha during 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively, as against 390, 410, 480, 375 and 460 respectively over the seeds with yield range from 330 to 480 kg/ha under farmers' practice. In comparison to farmer's practice, there was an increase of 41.0, 59.5, 25.0, 87.8 and 63.0% in productivity of moth bean under improved technologies in 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. The increased grain yield with improved technologies was mainly because of the line sowing, use of nutrient management

**Table 2 : Yield attributing traits of moth bean as influenced by improved and farmers' practices in FLDs**

| Year    | Number of pods/plant |      |             | Number of seeds/pods |     |             | Seed weight (in 100 pods) (g) |      |             |
|---------|----------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|-----|-------------|-------------------------------|------|-------------|
|         | IT                   | FP   | % increased | IT                   | FP  | % increased | IT                            | FP   | % increased |
| 2010    | 54.8                 | 35.9 | 52.6        | 5.9                  | 3.9 | 51.3        | 26.7                          | 15.2 | 75.7        |
| 2011    | 52.7                 | 33.5 | 57.3        | 6.0                  | 3.6 | 66.7        | 24.1                          | 17.8 | 35.4        |
| 2012    | 55.4                 | 34.7 | 59.0        | 6.6                  | 5.1 | 29.4        | 20.5                          | 14.9 | 37.9        |
| 2013    | 56.3                 | 39.3 | 43.3        | 5.8                  | 3.6 | 61.1        | 18.5                          | 13.9 | 33.1        |
| 2014    | 53.9                 | 40.9 | 31.8        | 6.5                  | 4.8 | 35.4        | 23.8                          | 14.0 | 70.0        |
| Average | 54.6                 | 36.9 | 48.8        | 6.2                  | 4.2 | 48.8        | 22.7                          | 16.2 | 50.4        |

IT= Improved technology; FP = Farmers practice

**Table 3 : Seed yield of moth bean as affected by improved and farmer practices in FLDs**

| Year    | Area (ha) | Demonstration (No.) | Yield (kg/ha) |       | Additional yield (kg/ha) over farmer practice | % increase in yield over farmers' practice |
|---------|-----------|---------------------|---------------|-------|---|--|
|         |           |                     | IT            | FP    |   |  |
| 2010    | 5.0       | 15                  | 550           | 390   | 160   | 41.0                                       |
| 2011    | 4.0       | 12                  | 654           | 410   | 244   | 59.5                                       |
| 2012    | 5.0       | 15                  | 600           | 480   | 120   | 25.0                                       |
| 2013    | 4.0       | 12                  | 590           | 330   | 260   | 78.8                                       |
| 2014    | 5.0       | 15                  | 750           | 460   | 290   | 63.0                                       |
| Average | 4.6       | 13.8                | 628.8         | 414.0 | 214.8   | 53.5                                       |

and weed management. The findings confirm with the findings of Singh and Meena (2011), Poonia and Pithia (2011), Meena *et al.* (2012) and Math *et al.* (2014).

#### Gap analysis:

Evaluation of findings of the study (Table 4) stated that an extension gap of 120 to 290 kg/ha was found between demonstrated technology and farmers' practice and on average basis the extension gap was 215 kg/ha during 2014 and lowest (120 kg/ha) during 2012. Such gap might be attributed to the adoption of improved technology, especially high yielding varieties sown with the help of seed cum fertilizers drill with balanced nutrition, weed management and appropriate plant protection measures in demonstrations which resulted in higher grain yield than the traditional farmers' practices the extension gap was highest 290 kg/ha. The study further exhibited a wide technology gap during different years. It was lowest (500 kg/ha) during 2013 and the highest (750 kg/ha) during 2014. However,

there was a mean technology gap of 639 kg/ha indicating that research efforts are still needed in realizing the potentiality of the moth bean crop in Rajasthan state.

Similarly, the technology index for all demonstrations in the study was in accordance with technology gap. Higher technology index reflected the inadequate transfer of proven technology to growers and insufficient extension services for transfer of technology. On the basis of five years study, overall 51.1% technological index was recorded, which was reduced from 56.0%, during 2010 to 40.0% during 2014. Hence, it can be inferred that the awareness and adoption of improved varieties with the recommended scientific package of practices have increased during the advancement of the study period. These findings are in conformity of the results of study carried out by Chandra (2010), Meena and Singh (2014), Dayanand *et al.* (2012) and Raj *et al.* (2013).

**Table 4 : Technological gap analysis of frontline demonstrations on moth bean FLDs**

| Years   | Number of FLDs | Potential yield (kg/ha) | FLD yield (kg/ha) | FP yield (kg/ha) | % increased | EG (kg/ha) | TG (kg/ha) | TI (kg/ha) |
|---------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 2010    | 15             | 1250                    | 550               | 390              | 41.0        | 160        | 700        | 56.0       |
| 2011    | 12             | 1250                    | 654               | 410              | 59.5        | 244        | 594        | 47.5       |
| 2012    | 15             | 1250                    | 600               | 480              | 25.0        | 120        | 650        | 52.0       |
| 2013    | 12             | 1250                    | 500               | 375              | 78.8        | 260        | 750        | 60.0       |
| 2014    | 15             | 1250                    | 750               | 460              | 63.0        | 290        | 500        | 40.0       |
| Average | 13.8           | 1250                    | 628.8             | 414.0            | 53.5        | 214.8      | 638.8      | 51.1       |

EG= Extension gap; TG= Technology gap; TI= Technology index; FP= Farmers practices

**Table 5 : Moth bean affected by improved and farmers' practice**

| Years   | Cost of cash input (₹/ha) |      | Additional cost in improved practices (Rs/ha) | Sale price (MSP) of seed (₹/qtl.) | Net returns (₹/ha) |       | Additional returns in improved practices (₹/ha) | Effective gain (₹/ha) | IBCR |
|---------|---------------------------|------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------|---|-----------------------|------|
|         | IP                        | FP   |   |                                   | IP                 | FP    |   |                       |      |
| 2010    | 7350                      | 6200 | 1150  | 3550                              | 20450              | 12100 | 8350  | 7200                  | 3.8  |
| 2011    | 8800                      | 6550 | 2250  | 4600                              | 21400              | 12150 | 9250  | 7000                  | 3.4  |
| 2012    | 9500                      | 6700 | 2800  | 5450                              | 27400              | 13600 | 13800   | 11000                 | 3.9  |
| 2013    | 10200                     | 7800 | 2400  | 5790                              | 28000              | 13900 | 14100   | 11700                 | 3.7  |
| 2014    | 11200                     | 9100 | 2100  | 5500                              | 26400              | 13000 | 13400   | 2200                  | 3.3  |
| average | 9410                      | 7270 | 2140  | 4958                              | 24730              | 12950 | 11780   | 7820                  | 3.6  |

IT= Improved practice; FP= Farmers practices; IBCR: Incremental benefit cost ratio

## Economics

Different variables like seed, fertilizers, bio-fertilizers and pesticides were considered as cash inputs for the demonstrations as well as in farmers practice. On an average additional investment of ₹ 2140/ha was made under demonstrations. Economic returns as a function of grain yield and MSP sale price varied during different years. The maximum returns (₹ 11700/ha) during the year 2013 were obtained due to high grain yield and higher MSP sale rates as declared by GOI. The higher additional returns and the effective gain obtained under demonstrations could be due to improved technology, non-monetary factors like timely operations of crop cultivation and scientific monitoring. The highest and lowest incremental benefit cost ratios (IBCR) were 3.9 and 3.3 in 2012 and 2014 respectively (Table 5) depending on grain yield obtained and MSP in the market. The results confirm with the findings of front line demonstrations on pulses by Yadav *et al.* (2004), Gauttam *et al.* (2011), Lothwal (2010), Chaudhary (2012), Dayanand *et al.* (2012), Meena and Dudi (2012) and Rajni *et al.* (2014).

## Conclusion

The frontline demonstrations conducted on moth bean at the farmers' fields revealed that the adoption of improved technologies significantly increased the yield as well as yield attributing traits as well as yield of the crop and also the net returns to the farmers. Hence, there is a need to disseminate the improved technologies among the farmers with effective extension methods like training and demonstrations. The farmers' should be encouraged to adopt the recommended package of practices in realizing higher returns.

## Acknowledgements

The authors sincerely acknowledge the Director CAZRI, Jodhpur for funding. Scientists and staff of All India Coordinated Research Project on Arid legume (ACRPAL), Jodhpur for their technical support and ZDPD, Zone VI, Jodhpur for providing all the facilities.

## References

- Burman RR, Singh SK and Singh AK. 2010. Gap in adoption of improved pulse production technologies in Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education* 10 (1):99-104.
- Chandra G. 2010. Evaluation of frontline demonstrations of greengram in Sunderban, West Bengal. *Journal of Indian Society of Costal Agricultural Research* 28:12-15.
- Chaudhary S. 2012. Impact of front lie demonstration on adoption of improved moth bean production technology in Nagaur district of Rajasthan. M.Sc. Thesis, SKRAU, Bikaner.
- Dayanand, Verma RK and Mahta SM. 2012. Boosting the mustard production through front line demonstrations. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education* 12(3):121-123.
- DOA. 2013. Production and productivity of *kharif* pulses in Agro-climatic zone of Rajasthan. Pp 122-128.
- Gauttam US, Paliwal DK and Singh SRK. 2011. Impact of frontline demonstrations on productivity enhancement of chickpea. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 48 (3&4): 10-13.
- Kumar D. 2014. Sustainable production of arid legume in rainfed condition of Rajasthan. *Annals of Arid Zone* 23(3):129-131.
- Kumar S, Singh R and Singh A. 2014. Assessment of gaps in pulse production in Hamirpur district of Himachal Pradesh. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education* 14(2):20-24.
- Lothwal OP. 2010. Evaluation of front line demonstrations on blackgram in irrigated agro-ecosystem. *Annals of Agricultural Research*, 31 (1&3):24-27.
- Math G, Vijayakumar AG, Hegde Y and Basamma K. 2014. Impact of improved technologies on productivity enhancement of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Indian Journal of Dryland Agricultural Research and Development*, 29 (2):41-44.
- Meena ML and Dudi A. 2012. On farm testing of chickpea cultivars for site specific assessment under rainfed condition of western Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 48 (3&4): 93-97.
- Meena OP, Sharma KC, Meena RH and Mitharwal BS. 2012. Technology transfer through FLDs on mungbean in semi-arid region of Rajasthan. *Rajasthan Journal of extension Education*, 20:182-186.
- Meena, ML and Singh D. 2014. Impact of Front Line Demonstration in Adoption of improved Gram Production Technology. *Indian Journal of Social Research* 55(2):277-283.
- Poonia TC and Pithia MS. 2011. Impact of front line demonstrations on chickpea in Gujarat. *Legume Research*, 34(4):304-307.
- Raj AD, Yadav V and Rathod JH. 2013. Impact of front line demonstrations (FLD) on the yield of pulses. *International Journal of Scientific and Research*, 3(9):1-4.
- Rajni, Singh NP and Singh P. 2014. Evaluation of frontline Demonstrations on yield and economic analysis of summer mungbean in Amritsar district of Punjab. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 50 (1&2):87-89.
- Singh BS and Chauhan TR. 2010. Adoption of mungbean production technology in arid zone of Rajasthan. *Indian Research Journal of Extension* 10(2):73-77.
- Singh D and Meena ML. 2011. Boosting seed spices production technology through front line demonstrations. *International Journal of Seed Spices* 1(1):81-85.
- Yadav DB, Kambhoj BK and Garg RB. 2004. Increasing the productivity and profitability of sunflowers through frontline demonstrations in irrigated agro-ecosystem of eastern Haryana. *Haryana Journal of Agronomy*, 20(1):33-35.
- Yadav VPS, Kumar R, Deshwal AK, Raman RS, Sharma BK and Bhela SL. 2007. Boosting pulse production through frontline demonstration. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 7 (2):12-14.